

A Proof of SWSE Method

Jovan Stefanovski and Kostadin Trenčevski

Abstract

In the recent paper [3] is given a method for calculation of the solutions of the analytical system of differential equations

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t)) , \quad x(t) \in \mathbf{R}^n , \quad x(0) = x^0$$

with the unknown variable $x(t)$, called SWSE (Summing Weighted Sequential Errors) method. The solutions are presented as functional series. In this paper we give another proof of the convergence of that functional series.

Keywords and phrases: System of ordinary differential equations, SWSE method, functional series.

1 Main results

In [1], a functional expansion is applied to obtain the flow of the nonstationary analytic vector field $X_t(x)$, i.e. the solution of

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_{t_0, t}(x) = X_t(\Phi_{t_0, t}(x)) \quad (1.1)$$

satisfying $\Phi_{t_0, t_0} = \text{Id}$, where Id is the identity operator. The equation (1.1), is solved by the following "chronological" formal series

$$\Phi_{t_0, t} = \text{Id} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau_1 \int_{t_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \cdots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_{m-1}} d\tau_m \cdot X_{\tau_m} \circ \cdots \circ X_{\tau_1} \quad (1.2)$$

where by \circ we denote the composition of mappings.

Consider the system of ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t)) , \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (1.3)$$

where the elements f_s of the function f have a Laurent's expansion for all t in a neighborhood U of $t = t_0$

$$f_s(t, z) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}} f_{s i_1 \dots i_n}(t) \cdot z_1^{i_1} \cdot z_2^{i_2} \cdots z_n^{i_n} , \quad s = 1, \dots, n , \quad z \in W \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n . \quad (1.4)$$

In general, it is possible $0 \notin W$. (If $0 \in W$ then the Laurent's series reduces to the Taylor's series.) We suppose that all functions $f_{s i_1 \dots i_n}(t)$ are regular in U , and that the convergence in (1.4) is uniform in each closed subset of $U \times W$. The Laurent's series (1.4) is introduced for proving the main results only. In the main results, contained in Sections 2, 3 and 4, the Laurent's series does not appear.

The initial conditions $x_i(t_0) = C_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ are such that (C_1, \dots, C_n) belongs to the domain W of the convergence of the Laurent's series (1.4).

To solve (1.3), let us introduce the following functions

$$x_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n} \quad (i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}) \quad (1.5)$$

so that $x_1 = x_{10\dots 0}$, \dots , $x_n = x_{0\dots 01}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} &= \frac{d}{dt}(x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n}) = \\ &= i_1 x_{(i_1-1) i_2 \dots i_n} \dot{x}_1 + \dots + i_n x_{i_1 i_2 \dots (i_n-1)} \dot{x}_n = \\ &= i_1 x_{(i_1-1) i_2 \dots i_n} \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbf{Z}} f_{1 p_1 \dots p_n} x_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_n} + \dots \\ &\quad + i_n x_{i_1 i_2 \dots (i_n-1)} \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbf{Z}} f_{n p_1 \dots p_n} x_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_n} = \\ &= i_1 \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbf{Z}} f_{1 p_1 \dots p_n} x_{(i_1+p_1-1)(i_2+p_2) \dots (i_n+p_n)} + \dots \\ &\quad + i_n \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbf{Z}} f_{n p_1 \dots p_n} x_{(i_1+p_1)(i_2+p_2) \dots (i_n+p_n-1)} = \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n i_s \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbf{Z}} f_{s p_1 \dots p_n} x_{(i_1+p_1) \dots (i_s+p_s-1) \dots (i_n+p_n)} = \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n i_s \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} f_{s(j_1-i_1) \dots (j_s-i_s+1) \dots (j_n-i_n)} x_{j_1 \dots j_n}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\dot{x}_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} h_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n} \cdot x_{j_1 \dots j_n} \quad (1.6)$$

for $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}$, and where

$$h_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{s=1}^n i_s f_{s(j_1-i_1) \dots (j_s-i_s+1) \dots (j_n-i_n)}. \quad (1.7)$$

We have obtained the system (1.6), which is a linear system of differential equations with infinitely unknown functions $x_{i_1 \dots i_n}$, $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}$. In [3] we obtain its solution by SWSE method. At first, in [3], we introduce the functions

$$P_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n j_1 j_2 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t) \quad (k \in \mathbf{N}_0, i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z})$$

as follows

$$\begin{aligned} P_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n j_1 j_2 \dots j_n}^{<0>} &= \delta_{i_1 j_1} \delta_{i_2 j_2} \dots \delta_{i_n j_n}, \\ P_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k+1>} &= \frac{d}{dt} P_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>} - \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbf{Z}} h_{i_1 \dots i_n p_1 \dots p_n} \cdot P_{p_1 \dots p_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>} \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. In [3] is proved that the functions $P_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ are well defined.

Now we give another proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *The solution of the system (1.3) of non-linear differential equations with the initial conditions $x_i(t_0) = C_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) in a neighborhood of $t = t_0$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t_0 - t)^k}{k!} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{10 \dots 0 j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t) C_1^{j_1} C_2^{j_2} \dots C_n^{j_n} \\ x_2(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t_0 - t)^k}{k!} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{01 \dots 0 j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t) C_1^{j_1} C_2^{j_2} \dots C_n^{j_n} \\ &\vdots \\ x_n(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t_0 - t)^k}{k!} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{0 \dots 01 j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t) C_1^{j_1} C_2^{j_2} \dots C_n^{j_n} \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where the functions $P_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t)$ are defined by (1.8) and (1.7).

Proof. A proof is given in [3]. In the following text we present a simpler proof. We prove that a solution of (1.6), with the initial conditions $x_{i_1 \dots i_n}(t_0) = C_1^{i_1} \dots C_n^{i_n}$, is given by summing weighted sequential errors (SWSE) of the linear system (1.6) with infinitely many unknowns, i.e.

$$x_{i_1 \dots i_n}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t_0 - t)^k}{k!} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t) C_1^{j_1} \dots C_n^{j_n} \quad (1.10)$$

where the functions $P_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t)$ are given by (1.8) and (1.7). Specially, if

$$(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{(1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 1, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, 1)\}$$

we obtain the required solution (1.9).

For $i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $\Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(t, t_0)$ be a function that satisfies

$$\Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(t_0, t_0) = \delta_{i_1 j_1} \cdots \delta_{i_n j_n} \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}} h_{i_1 \dots i_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(t) \cdot \Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n j_1 \dots j_n}(t, t_0) \quad (1.12)$$

where the functions $h_{i_1 \dots i_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(t)$ are defined in (1.7).

Let us introduce the following functions

$$p_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(t, z) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t) \cdot z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_n}$$

The functions $p_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(t, z)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(t, z)}{\partial t} &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{dP_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t)}{dt} \cdot z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_n} = \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k+1>}(t) \cdot z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_n} + \\ &+ \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}} h_{i_1 \dots i_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \cdot P_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t) \cdot z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_n} = \\ &= p_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k+1>} + \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}} h_{i_1 \dots i_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(t) \cdot z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_n} = \\ &= p_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k+1>} + \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}} h_{i_1 \dots i_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \cdot p_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{<k>} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$p_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k+1>}(t, z) = \frac{\partial p_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(t, z)}{\partial t} - \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}} h_{i_1 \dots i_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(t) \cdot p_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{<k>}(t, z) \quad (1.13)$$

Let us introduce the following functions

$$q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(\tau, \tau_0, z) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \cdot p_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z) \quad (1.14)$$

Using (1.13), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(\tau, \tau_0, z)}{\partial \tau} = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau)}{\partial t_0} \cdot p_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z) +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \cdot \frac{\partial p_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z)}{\partial \tau} = \\
& = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau)}{\partial t_0} \cdot p_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z) + \\
& + \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}} h_{j_1 \dots j_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau) \cdot p_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z) + \\
& + \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \cdot p_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k+1>}(\tau, z) = \\
& = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \cdot p_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k+1>}(\tau, z) = q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k+1>}(\tau, \tau_0, z) \quad (1.15)
\end{aligned}$$

because of the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1.

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau)}{\partial t_0} = - \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \cdot h_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau)$$

Proof of Lemma 1.1. We start from the following identity

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \cdot \Phi_{j_1 \dots j_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau, \tau_0) = \delta_{i_1 \alpha_1} \cdots \delta_{i_n \alpha_n} \quad (1.16)$$

which is a consequence of (1.11) and (1.12). By differentiation of the above identity in respect to τ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau)}{\partial t_0} \cdot \Phi_{j_1 \dots j_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau, \tau_0) + \\
& + \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi_{j_1 \dots j_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau, \tau_0)}{\partial \tau} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. having in mind the identity (1.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau)}{\partial t_0} \cdot \Phi_{j_1 \dots j_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau, \tau_0) = \\
& = - \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{j_1 \dots j_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n \beta_1 \dots \beta_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \cdot h_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 1.1 is completed by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *If*

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \cdot \Phi_{j_1 \dots j_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau, \tau_0) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z} \quad (1.17)$$

for τ in a neighborhood of τ_0 , then

$$\forall j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z} \quad a_{j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau, \tau_0) = 0 \quad (1.18)$$

Proof. Multiplying the identity (1.17) with $\Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau_0, \tau)$ and summing up in respect to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \cdot \Phi_{j_1 \dots j_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau, \tau_0) = 0$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{j_1 \dots j_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \cdot \Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau_0, \tau) = 0$$

i.e., using (1.16),

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \cdot \delta_{j_1 i_1} \cdots \delta_{j_n i_n} = a_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0) = 0 \quad \blacksquare$$

Using (1.15), we obtain

$$q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k+1>}(t, t_0, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(t, t_0, z)$$

and, by induction,

$$q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(t, t_0, z) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<0>}(t, t_0, z), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.19)$$

We shall prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3.

$$|p_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z)| \leq \frac{k!}{\rho^k} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}} |\Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0)| \cdot q_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0, z) \quad (1.20)$$

where

$$q_{i_1 \dots i_n}(t, t_0, z) = \sup_{t \in D_t} |q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<0>}(t, t_0, z)|, \quad D_t = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{C} : |\zeta - t| = \rho\} \quad (1.21)$$

Proof. The identity (1.14) is invertible. Namely, we shall prove that

$$p_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \cdot q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(\tau, \tau_0, z)$$

Multiplying the identity (1.14) with $\Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0)$ and summing up in respect to $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \cdot q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(\tau, \tau_0, z) = \\
&= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau) \cdot p_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z) = \\
&= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} p_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z) \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \cdot \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(\tau_0, \tau) = \\
&= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} p_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z) \delta_{\alpha_1 j_1} \cdots \delta_{\alpha_n j_n} = p_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z)
\end{aligned}$$

according to (1.16).

By (1.19) and the Cauchy integral formula [2], we have

$$|q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(t, t_0, z)| \leq \frac{k!}{\rho^k} \cdot q_{i_1 \dots i_n}(t, t_0, z)$$

where $q_{i_1 \dots i_n}(z)$ is given by (1.21). Further we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|p_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{<k>}(\tau, z)| &= \left| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0) \cdot q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(\tau, \tau_0, z) \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}} |\Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0)| \cdot |q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>}(\tau, \tau_0, z)| \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{Z}} |\Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0)| \cdot \frac{k!}{\rho^k} \cdot q_{i_1 \dots i_n}(\tau, \tau_0, z) \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Having in mind Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|x_{i_1 \dots i_n}| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t_0 - t)^k}{k!} p_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{<k>} \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|t_0 - t|^k}{\rho^k} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{Z}} |\Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n}(t, t_0)| q_{j_1 \dots j_n}(t, t_0, z)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have absolute and uniform convergence in (1.10) for t in a neighborhood of t_0 . According to the Weierstrass theorem [2], the series $x_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ is a regular function in a neighborhood of t_0 and it is allowed to be differentiated by terms. By differentiation, in [3] we obtain the result (1.9). \blacksquare

Remark. Unlike the proof in [3], which is mostly theoretical, the above proof can be used for analysis of the error made by taking a finite number of terms in (1.9).

Conclusions

In this paper a functional series solution of the system of nonlinear ODE (1.3), is presented. A further research could be to specify this series to a system with a control variable explicitly appearing in the system equations. Also, in this paper, an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1 is presented, besides the proof in [3], which contains a closer estimation of the error made by taking a finite number of terms in the functional series solution. A further research is oriented on developing a numerical algorithm for solving systems of ODE, based on the paper result.

References

- [1] Agrachev A.A., Gamkrelidze R.V., Exponential flow presentation and chronological calculation, *Mat. sb.*, 107 (4) 467-532, 1978 (in Russian).
- [2] Mitrinović D.S., *Complex Analysis*, Gradjevinska knjiga, Beograd, 1973 (In Serbian).
- [3] Stefanovski J., Trenčevski K., Analytic solutions of systems, *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, Vol.8 No.4, (2002), 463-486.

Jovan Stefanovski
JP Streževo, 7000 Bitola, Macedonia
e-mail: jovanstef@mt.net.mk

Kostadin Trenčevski
Institute of Mathematics, St. Cyril and Methodius Univ.,
P.O.Box 162, 1000 Skopje, Macedonia
e-mail: kostatre@iunona.pmf.ukim.edu.mk